

APPENDIX D

PART-TIME FACULTY
Survey Items Rank-Ordered by Campus

The University Faculty Senate of
The City University of New York

SPRING 2009

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Table 1 - Which of the following best describes your office situation?

**Part-time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	No office space		Shared common room		Shared office		Private office		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Lehman	24%	17	21%	15	54%	38	0%	0	70
Baruch	12%	14	38%	45	49%	57	1%	1	117
Brooklyn	28%	42	25%	37	45%	66	2%	3	148
Queens	25%	43	27%	46	43%	75	5%	9	173
KBCC	37%	30	23%	19	39%	32	1%	1	82
Hunter	26%	52	34%	66	39%	76	2%	3	197
City	37%	45	26%	31	36%	43	2%	2	121
Bronx CC	17%	9	48%	25	35%	18	0%	0	52
CSI	22%	20	46%	41	31%	28	0%	0	89
Hostos CC	41%	12	28%	8	31%	9	0%	0	29
BMCC	14%	23	55%	89	30%	49	0%	0	161
John Jay	24%	20	45%	38	26%	22	5%	4	84
LAGCC	28%	33	48%	56	22%	26	2%	2	117
York	29%	11	50%	19	21%	8	0%	0	38
NYC Tech	33%	44	47%	62	20%	27	0%	0	133
QCC	26%	31	54%	65	19%	23	1%	1	120
Medgar Evers	21%	8	67%	26	13%	5	0%	0	39
Schl Prof'l Studies	100%	15	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
Totals	26%	469	39%	688	34%	602	1%	26	1785

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 2 - Do you receive timely notification of
of reappointment?**

**Part-time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Always		Usually		Usually not		Never		Not applicable (2009 was first semester)		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
KBCC	65%	53	29%	24	1%	1	1%	1	4%	3	82
Bronx CC	62%	32	35%	18	2%	1	0%	0	2%	1	52
CSI	58%	52	34%	30	7%	6	0%	0	1%	1	89
NYC Tech	56%	75	34%	45	7%	9	2%	2	2%	2	133
QCC	55%	66	36%	44	8%	10	0%	0	1%	1	121
Baruch	53%	62	34%	40	12%	14	0%	0	1%	1	117
Queens	52%	89	39%	67	6%	11	0%	0	3%	5	172
LAGCC	50%	59	37%	44	7%	8	2%	2	4%	5	118
Brooklyn	49%	73	36%	54	9%	13	1%	2	4%	6	148
Hunter	48%	95	35%	69	9%	18	4%	7	4%	8	197
Lehman	47%	33	31%	22	19%	13	1%	1	1%	1	70
BMCC	47%	75	43%	68	6%	10	2%	3	3%	4	160
York	46%	17	30%	11	8%	3	8%	3	8%	3	37
City	46%	55	35%	42	11%	13	4%	5	4%	5	120
Hostos CC	45%	13	31%	9	17%	5	3%	1	3%	1	29
John Jay	42%	35	46%	39	6%	5	1%	1	5%	4	84
Medgar Evers	28%	11	51%	20	15%	6	3%	1	3%	1	39
Schl Profl Studies	19%	3	25%	4	44%	7	0%	0	13%	2	16
Totals	50%	898	36%	650	9%	153	2%	29	3%	54	1784

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 3 - Do you receive your schedule for the next term prior to the end of the previous term?

**Part-time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Always		Usually		Usually not		Never		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Queens	62%	105	24%	40	9%	15	6%	10	170
Baruch	56%	65	30%	35	11%	13	3%	3	116
City	48%	57	27%	32	12%	14	13%	16	119
QCC	46%	56	26%	32	16%	19	12%	14	121
LAGCC	45%	53	29%	34	15%	17	11%	13	117
Brooklyn	45%	66	39%	57	12%	18	3%	5	146
Lehman	43%	30	30%	21	14%	10	12%	8	69
Hunter	42%	82	28%	55	14%	27	15%	30	194
York	42%	16	32%	12	11%	4	16%	6	38
Schl Prof'l Studies	38%	6	38%	6	13%	2	13%	2	16
John Jay	37%	31	44%	37	11%	9	8%	7	84
KBCC	36%	29	25%	20	24%	19	15%	12	80
Hostos CC	34%	10	24%	7	14%	4	28%	8	29
CSI	30%	27	37%	33	18%	16	15%	13	89
NYC Tech	27%	36	31%	41	18%	24	23%	30	131
Bronx CC	25%	13	17%	9	21%	11	37%	19	52
BMCC	18%	29	35%	55	25%	40	21%	33	157
Medgar Evers	18%	7	32%	12	32%	12	18%	7	38
Totals	41%	718	30%	538	16%	274	13%	236	1766

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 4 - Do you experience difficulties with your local Payroll Department?

Part-time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009, City University of New York

College	Always		Usually		Usually not		Never		Totals	
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
KBCC	1%	1	1%	1	20%	16	78%	64		82
QCC	0%	0	0%	0	28%	34	72%	87		121
LAGCC	1%	1	2%	2	35%	41	63%	74		118
Hostos CC	7%	2	7%	2	28%	8	59%	17		29
CSI	0%	0	6%	5	38%	33	57%	50		88
Bronx CC	2%	1	0%	0	43%	22	55%	28		51
BMCC	1%	2	3%	5	41%	65	55%	87		159
Queens	2%	4	6%	10	38%	65	54%	94		173
Brooklyn	1%	2	7%	10	41%	61	51%	75		148
John Jay	0%	0	4%	3	46%	38	51%	42		83
Schl Prof'l Studies	0%	0	6%	1	44%	7	50%	8		16
Hunter	4%	7	7%	14	40%	79	49%	98		198
NYC Tech	2%	2	5%	7	44%	57	49%	64		130
York	3%	1	18%	7	32%	12	47%	18		38
City	3%	4	8%	10	49%	59	40%	48		121
Baruch	3%	4	10%	12	52%	60	34%	40		116
Lehman	1%	1	15%	10	50%	34	34%	23		68
Medgar Evers	10%	4	15%	6	51%	20	23%	9		39
Totals	2%	36	6%	105	40%	711	52%	926		1778

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 5 - Agreement with Question about
Employment Situation at CUNY:**

*The faculty in my department or program
make me feel welcome*

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Strongly disagree- Mildly disagree		Neutral		Mildly agree - Strongly Agree		M	SD	Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N			N
Bronx CC	0%	0	0%	0	100%	52	3.0	0.0	52
Schl Prof'l Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	2.9	0.5	16
KBCC	5%	4	5%	4	90%	74	2.9	0.5	82
York	5%	2	5%	2	89%	34	2.8	0.5	38
QCC	5%	6	7%	8	88%	107	2.8	0.5	121
Queens	5%	9	8%	13	87%	151	2.8	0.5	173
Brooklyn	4%	6	9%	13	87%	129	2.8	0.5	148
CSI	10%	9	3%	3	87%	77	2.8	0.6	89
BMCC	10%	16	4%	7	86%	139	2.8	0.6	162
Lehman	6%	4	9%	6	86%	60	2.8	0.5	70
Hunter	9%	18	6%	12	85%	168	2.8	0.6	198
Medgar Evers	5%	2	10%	4	85%	33	2.8	0.5	39
NYC Tech	8%	10	9%	12	83%	111	2.8	0.6	133
Hostos CC	17%	5	0%	0	83%	24	2.7	0.8	29
LAGCC	10%	12	8%	9	82%	97	2.7	0.6	118
City	9%	11	10%	12	81%	96	2.7	0.6	119
John Jay	13%	11	7%	6	80%	67	2.7	0.7	84
Baruch	9%	11	11%	13	79%	93	2.7	0.6	117
Totals	8%	137	7%	124	85%	1527	2.8	0.6	1788

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 6 - Agreement with Question about
Employment Situation at CUNY:**

*The staff in my department or program
make me feel welcome*

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Strongly disagree- Mildly disagree		Neutral		Mildly agree - Strongly Agree		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N			
Bronx CC	0%	0	0%	0	100%	52	3.0	0.0	52
CSI	1%	1	1%	1	98%	87	3.0	0.2	89
York	3%	1	3%	1	95%	36	2.9	0.4	38
Brooklyn	3%	4	3%	5	94%	139	2.9	0.4	148
KBCC	2%	2	4%	3	94%	77	2.9	0.4	82
Schl Prof Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	2.9	0.5	16
Queens	3%	6	4%	7	92%	160	2.9	0.4	173
QCC	3%	4	5%	6	92%	110	2.9	0.4	120
Lehman	6%	4	3%	2	91%	64	2.9	0.5	70
Hunter	4%	7	6%	11	91%	180	2.9	0.4	198
BMCC	7%	12	5%	8	88%	141	2.8	0.6	161
LAGCC	5%	6	8%	9	87%	103	2.8	0.5	118
Medgar Evers	8%	3	5%	2	87%	34	2.8	0.6	39
City	6%	7	8%	9	87%	103	2.8	0.5	119
Baruch	9%	11	4%	5	86%	101	2.8	0.6	117
John Jay	10%	8	5%	4	86%	72	2.8	0.6	84
NYC Tech	7%	9	9%	12	84%	112	2.8	0.6	133
Hostos CC	7%	2	10%	3	83%	24	2.8	0.6	29
Totals	5%	88	5%	88	90%	1610	2.9	0.5	1786

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 7 - Agreement with Question about
Employment Situation at CUNY:**

*I have a voice in determining my teaching
assignments and schedule*

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Strongly disagree- Mildly disagree		Neutral		Mildly agree - Strongly Agree		M	SD	Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N			N
Schl Prof Studies	13%	2	0%	0	88%	14	2.8	0.7	16
Lehman	9%	6	4%	3	87%	60	2.8	0.6	69
Brooklyn	13%	19	6%	9	81%	119	2.7	0.7	147
CSI	17%	15	4%	4	79%	70	2.6	0.8	89
Queens	14%	24	8%	13	79%	136	2.5	0.8	173
Hunter	16%	31	6%	12	78%	154	2.6	0.7	197
Baruch	15%	18	7%	8	78%	91	2.6	0.7	117
Bronx CC	12%	6	12%	6	77%	40	2.7	0.7	52
KBCC	15%	12	11%	9	74%	61	2.6	0.7	82
NYC Tech	18%	24	8%	10	74%	97	2.6	0.8	131
LAGCC	17%	20	9%	11	74%	87	2.6	0.8	118
City	14%	16	14%	16	73%	85	2.6	0.7	117
John Jay	18%	15	11%	9	71%	59	2.5	0.8	83
QCC	21%	25	8%	10	71%	86	2.6	0.7	121
BMCC	24%	39	9%	15	67%	108	2.4	0.9	162
York	26%	10	8%	3	66%	25	2.4	0.9	38
Medgar Evers	26%	10	10%	4	64%	25	2.4	0.9	39
Hostos CC	31%	9	10%	3	59%	17	2.3	0.9	29
Totals	17%	301	8%	145	75%	1334	2.6	0.8	1780

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 8 - Agreement with Question about
Employment Situation at CUNY:**

*My department or program or campus is working to help me
improve the quality of my teaching*

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Strongly disagree- Mildly disagree		Neutral		Mildly agree - Strongly Agree		M	SD	Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N			N
Schl Prof Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	2.9	0.5	16
LAGCC	12%	14	11%	13	77%	90	2.6	0.7	117
Bronx CC	10%	5	17%	9	73%	38	2.6	0.7	52
Hunter	17%	33	12%	24	71%	138	2.5	0.8	195
KBCC	15%	12	16%	13	70%	57	2.5	0.7	82
City	13%	15	21%	25	66%	79	2.5	0.7	119
QCC	8%	10	26%	31	66%	79	2.4	0.8	120
Lehman	14%	10	20%	14	66%	46	2.5	0.7	70
Hostos CC	21%	6	14%	4	66%	19	2.4	0.8	29
John Jay	25%	21	11%	9	64%	53	2.4	0.9	83
Baruch	20%	23	18%	21	62%	73	2.4	0.8	117
CSI	17%	15	21%	19	62%	55	2.4	0.8	89
Brooklyn	12%	18	26%	38	62%	90	2.5	0.7	146
York	21%	8	18%	7	61%	23	2.4	0.8	38
Queens	16%	28	25%	43	58%	99	2.6	0.6	170
Medgar Evers	29%	11	13%	5	58%	22	2.3	0.9	38
NYC Tech	25%	33	17%	23	58%	77	2.3	0.9	133
BMCC	19%	30	25%	41	56%	91	2.4	0.8	162
Totals	16%	293	19%	339	64%	1144	2.5	0.8	1776

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 9 - Agreement with Question about
Employment Situation at CUNY:**

*My campus office situation provides
adequate privacy to meet with students*

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Strongly disagree- Mildly disagree		Neutral		Mildly agree - Strongly Agree		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N			
KBCC	24%	19	16%	13	60%	48	2.4	0.8	80
Lehman	30%	21	10%	7	59%	41	2.3	0.9	69
Queens	30%	51	12%	20	58%	99	2.0	0.9	170
Brooklyn	33%	48	11%	16	56%	83	2.2	0.9	147
Baruch	39%	46	7%	8	54%	63	2.1	1.0	117
CSI	39%	34	9%	8	52%	45	2.1	0.9	87
City	32%	38	18%	21	50%	58	2.2	0.9	117
Bronx CC	41%	21	10%	5	49%	25	2.1	1.0	51
York	39%	15	13%	5	47%	18	2.1	0.9	38
John Jay	43%	36	13%	11	44%	37	2.0	0.9	84
QCC	41%	49	17%	20	43%	51	2.3	0.9	120
LAGCC	42%	50	18%	21	40%	47	2.0	0.9	118
Hostos CC	55%	16	7%	2	38%	11	1.8	1.0	29
Hunter	54%	106	9%	18	37%	73	1.8	0.9	197
BMCC	51%	82	15%	25	34%	55	1.8	0.9	162
NYC Tech	52%	69	17%	22	32%	42	1.8	0.9	133
Medgar Evers	53%	20	18%	7	29%	11	1.8	0.9	38
Schl Prof Studies	47%	7	40%	6	13%	2	1.7	0.7	15
Totals	41%	728	13%	235	46%	809	2.0	0.9	1772

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 10 - Satisfaction Question about
Position at CUNY:**

*How satisfied are you, in general,
with your position at CUNY?*

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Strongly disagree- Mildly disagree		Neutral		Mildly agree - Strongly Agree		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N			
QCC	5%	6	3%	4	92%	111	2.7	0.7	121
KBCC	9%	7	1%	1	90%	73	2.8	0.6	81
Brooklyn	10%	14	3%	4	88%	127	2.8	0.6	145
Baruch	11%	13	2%	2	87%	102	2.8	0.6	117
Lehman	9%	6	4%	3	87%	61	2.8	0.6	70
Bronx CC	12%	6	2%	1	87%	45	2.8	0.7	52
LAGCC	12%	14	3%	4	85%	99	2.7	0.7	117
John Jay	12%	10	4%	3	84%	70	2.7	0.7	83
City	12%	14	4%	5	84%	102	2.7	0.7	121
NYC Tech	9%	12	7%	9	84%	111	2.8	0.6	132
CSI	11%	10	6%	5	83%	73	2.7	0.7	88
York	18%	7	0%	0	82%	31	2.6	0.8	38
Queens	13%	23	5%	9	81%	140	2.9	0.5	172
Hunter	15%	29	4%	8	81%	161	2.7	0.7	198
BMCC	15%	24	4%	7	81%	129	2.7	0.7	160
Schl Prof'l Studies	20%	3	0%	0	80%	12	2.6	0.8	15
Hostos CC	17%	5	3%	1	79%	23	2.6	0.8	29
Medgar Evers	18%	7	10%	4	72%	28	2.5	0.8	39
Totals	12%	210	4%	70	84%	1498	2.7	0.7	1778

Note. Row "Totals" refer to the average of column percentages and number of respondents in each column. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Average percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 11 - Rating of Office Space

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	43%	50	50%	58	8%	9	0%	0	117
Lehman	35%	24	49%	34	10%	7	6%	4	69
Queens	44%	75	41%	71	9%	16	6%	10	172
KBCC	33%	27	38%	31	13%	11	16%	13	82
CSI	48%	42	36%	32	9%	8	7%	6	88
QCC	53%	64	32%	39	12%	14	3%	4	121
City	34%	41	32%	38	28%	34	6%	7	120
York	50%	19	32%	12	18%	7	0%	0	38
Brooklyn	51%	75	31%	45	16%	24	2%	3	147
Hostos CC	55%	16	28%	8	14%	4	3%	1	29
Bronx CC	63%	33	27%	14	8%	4	2%	1	52
John Jay	49%	41	26%	22	21%	18	4%	3	84
Hunter	57%	113	21%	41	17%	34	5%	10	198
LAGCC	56%	66	20%	23	18%	21	6%	7	117
NYC Tech	56%	73	18%	24	21%	27	5%	7	131
BMCC	73%	119	14%	22	8%	13	5%	8	162
Medgar Evers	71%	27	13%	5	11%	4	5%	2	38
Schl Profll Studies	0%	0	0%	0	73%	11	27%	4	15
Totals	51%	905	29%	519	15%	266	5%	90	1780

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 12 - Rating of Office Computers including Available Software and Internet Connections

Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009, City University of New York

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	31%	36	62%	72	6%	7	2%	2	117
QCC	35%	42	55%	66	7%	8	4%	5	121
Lehman	35%	24	48%	33	10%	7	7%	5	69
Brooklyn	39%	58	47%	69	10%	14	4%	6	147
KBCC	30%	24	47%	38	10%	8	14%	11	81
Bronx CC	50%	26	46%	24	2%	1	2%	1	52
John Jay	40%	33	46%	38	8%	7	6%	5	83
York	34%	13	42%	16	16%	6	8%	3	38
City	33%	39	41%	49	16%	19	11%	13	120
Queens	42%	73	39%	67	9%	16	10%	17	173
Hostos CC	38%	11	38%	11	17%	5	7%	2	29
Hunter	48%	95	35%	69	12%	23	5%	10	197
CSI	55%	48	32%	28	3%	3	10%	9	88
BMCC	59%	96	31%	50	4%	7	6%	9	162
Medgar Evers	56%	20	31%	11	6%	2	8%	3	36
LAGCC	46%	53	30%	35	17%	20	7%	8	116
NYC Tech	50%	67	30%	40	17%	22	3%	4	133
Schl Prof Studies	13%	2	19%	3	44%	7	25%	4	16
Totals	43%	760	40%	719	10%	182	7%	117	1778

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 13 - Tech Support for Computer-Related Activities

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	26%	31	69%	81	1%	1	3%	4	117
QCC	25%	30	66%	79	2%	2	8%	9	120
KBCC	15%	12	62%	51	5%	4	18%	15	82
John Jay	29%	24	59%	49	4%	3	8%	7	83
CSI	34%	30	55%	48	3%	3	8%	7	88
City	28%	33	53%	63	8%	10	12%	14	120
Hunter	37%	72	50%	99	3%	6	10%	20	197
LAGCC	35%	41	50%	58	4%	5	10%	12	116
Brooklyn	32%	47	47%	68	7%	10	14%	21	146
Bronx CC	37%	19	46%	24	6%	3	12%	6	52
Queens	36%	63	44%	76	5%	9	14%	25	173
Lehman	32%	22	43%	30	6%	4	19%	13	69
NYC Tech	39%	52	43%	57	8%	11	9%	12	132
Hostos CC	39%	11	39%	11	7%	2	14%	4	28
York	28%	10	39%	14	17%	6	17%	6	36
Schl Prof'l Studies	31%	5	38%	6	13%	2	19%	3	16
BMCC	51%	82	36%	58	2%	4	11%	18	162
Medgar Evers	53%	20	29%	11	3%	1	16%	6	38
Totals	34%	604	50%	883	5%	86	11%	202	1775

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 14 - Library Holdings: Printed Books and Journals

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>
Baruch	12%	14	68%	79	1%	1	20%	23	117
Queens	14%	24	63%	108	3%	5	20%	34	171
KBCC	21%	17	62%	51	4%	3	13%	11	82
John Jay	23%	19	61%	51	2%	2	13%	11	83
Brooklyn	20%	29	61%	89	2%	3	17%	25	146
Hunter	24%	48	59%	116	1%	2	16%	31	197
Lehman	21%	14	57%	39	0%	0	22%	15	68
Hostos CC	24%	7	55%	16	3%	1	17%	5	29
CSI	23%	20	55%	48	0%	0	23%	20	88
LAGCC	22%	26	54%	63	0%	0	24%	28	117
City	24%	28	51%	60	6%	7	19%	23	118
Bronx CC	33%	17	46%	24	2%	1	19%	10	52
QCC	22%	26	44%	52	2%	2	32%	38	118
NYC Tech	29%	38	42%	55	4%	5	26%	34	132
BMCC	30%	48	40%	64	1%	2	29%	47	161
Medgar Evers	35%	13	35%	13	5%	2	24%	9	37
York	39%	15	32%	12	5%	2	24%	9	38
Schl Profll Studies	38%	6	25%	4	13%	2	25%	4	16
Totals	23%	409	53%	944	2%	40	21%	377	1770

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 15 - Library Holdings: Electronic Resources

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	9%	10	70%	82	1%	1	21%	24	117
John Jay	18%	15	64%	54	2%	2	15%	13	84
CSI	16%	14	58%	51	0%	0	26%	23	88
Brooklyn	16%	23	57%	82	3%	4	24%	34	143
LAGCC	13%	15	57%	67	0%	0	30%	35	117
Queens	14%	24	56%	97	2%	4	28%	48	173
Hunter	23%	45	55%	108	2%	3	20%	40	196
Bronx CC	25%	13	55%	28	0%	0	20%	10	51
Lehman	13%	9	54%	37	1%	1	31%	21	68
City	22%	26	53%	62	5%	6	20%	24	118
Hostos CC	31%	9	52%	15	3%	1	14%	4	29
KBCC	17%	14	46%	38	4%	3	33%	27	82
QCC	21%	25	43%	51	2%	2	35%	42	120
BMCC	24%	38	39%	62	1%	1	36%	58	159
NYC Tech	22%	29	38%	49	5%	6	35%	45	129
Schl Prof'l Studies	31%	5	38%	6	13%	2	19%	3	16
York	26%	10	37%	14	3%	1	34%	13	38
Medgar Evers	32%	12	34%	13	3%	1	32%	12	38
Totals	19%	336	52%	916	2%	38	27%	476	1766

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 16 - Computer Labs and "Smart" Classrooms

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>
Baruch	15%	17	66%	77	3%	3	16%	19	116
CSI	22%	19	60%	52	2%	2	16%	14	87
LAGCC	31%	36	58%	67	1%	1	10%	12	116
John Jay	25%	21	54%	45	4%	3	17%	14	83
QCC	27%	32	52%	62	4%	5	18%	21	120
Bronx CC	35%	18	49%	25	0%	0	16%	8	51
KBCC	21%	17	48%	39	4%	3	28%	23	82
Brooklyn	27%	39	47%	67	3%	5	23%	33	144
Medgar Evers	26%	10	45%	17	3%	1	26%	10	38
Hunter	31%	61	43%	85	4%	8	22%	43	197
Hostos CC	31%	9	41%	12	10%	3	17%	5	29
BMCC	38%	60	41%	65	3%	4	19%	31	160
Lehman	26%	18	41%	28	3%	2	30%	21	69
City	30%	36	39%	47	7%	8	24%	28	119
NYC Tech	36%	48	39%	52	6%	8	19%	25	133
Queens	28%	49	35%	61	6%	10	30%	52	172
York	35%	13	24%	9	14%	5	27%	10	37
Schl Prof'l Studies	13%	2	13%	2	31%	5	44%	7	16
Totals	29%	505	46%	812	4%	76	21%	376	1769

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 17 - Access to Your Office/Lab After Hours and After Hours and On Weekends

Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009, City University of New York

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	6%	7	70%	81	3%	4	21%	24	116
CSI	14%	12	58%	51	7%	6	22%	19	88
John Jay	17%	14	57%	47	12%	10	14%	12	83
Brooklyn	17%	25	52%	76	9%	13	21%	31	145
Hostos CC	14%	4	52%	15	21%	6	14%	4	29
Lehman	17%	12	48%	33	7%	5	28%	19	69
Hunter	13%	26	47%	92	12%	24	27%	53	195
QCC	19%	23	47%	56	8%	9	26%	31	119
Queens	15%	25	47%	80	9%	16	30%	51	172
KBCC	17%	14	46%	37	4%	3	33%	27	81
Bronx CC	33%	17	44%	23	8%	4	15%	8	52
York	22%	8	43%	16	11%	4	24%	9	37
BMCC	23%	37	42%	67	8%	12	28%	44	160
City	16%	19	39%	46	21%	25	24%	29	119
LAGCC	27%	31	38%	44	9%	10	27%	31	116
NYC Tech	25%	33	38%	50	15%	20	22%	29	132
Medgar Evers	26%	10	37%	14	5%	2	32%	12	38
Schl Prof'l Studies	0%	0	0%	0	56%	9	44%	7	16
Totals	18%	317	47%	828	10%	182	25%	440	1767

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 18 - Off-Campus Access to Your Campus Email

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
QCC	10%	12	86%	102	1%	1	3%	4	119
Bronx CC	13%	7	85%	44	0%	0	2%	1	52
Hunter	9%	18	83%	161	1%	2	7%	14	195
Lehman	7%	5	81%	57	0%	0	11%	8	70
John Jay	15%	12	81%	65	1%	1	3%	2	80
Baruch	10%	11	81%	92	3%	3	7%	8	114
LAGCC	15%	17	74%	85	1%	1	10%	12	115
Queens	12%	20	73%	127	1%	2	14%	24	173
Brooklyn	9%	12	73%	103	2%	3	16%	23	141
York	11%	4	70%	26	5%	2	14%	5	37
CSI	9%	8	70%	62	1%	1	20%	18	89
KBCC	11%	9	70%	57	6%	5	13%	11	82
NYC Tech	11%	14	63%	81	9%	11	18%	23	129
Hostos CC	10%	3	62%	18	14%	4	14%	4	29
City	16%	19	62%	72	4%	5	18%	21	117
BMCC	20%	31	59%	94	4%	7	16%	26	158
Medgar Evers	24%	9	55%	21	0%	0	21%	8	38
Schl Profll Studies	0%	0	44%	7	19%	3	38%	6	16
Totals	12%	211	73%	1274	3%	51	12%	218	1754

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 19 - Physical Plant Conditions
(including escalators, elevators, classrooms, common areas)

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
CSI	28%	25	71%	63	0%	0	1%	1	89
LAGCC	35%	41	62%	72	0%	0	3%	4	117
KBCC	28%	23	61%	50	2%	2	9%	7	82
Queens	42%	72	54%	92	1%	2	3%	5	171
City	39%	47	54%	64	3%	3	4%	5	119
QCC	43%	52	54%	65	1%	1	2%	3	121
Lehman	43%	30	54%	37	0%	0	3%	2	69
John Jay	48%	40	52%	44	0%	0	0%	0	84
Hostos CC	45%	13	52%	15	3%	1	0%	0	29
Baruch	47%	55	50%	59	2%	2	1%	1	117
Brooklyn	46%	67	50%	73	2%	3	3%	4	147
York	49%	18	49%	18	3%	1	0%	0	37
BMCC	55%	88	44%	70	1%	2	0%	0	160
Hunter	53%	104	43%	85	1%	2	3%	6	197
Medgar Evers	55%	21	39%	15	0%	0	5%	2	38
NYC Tech	65%	86	35%	46	0%	0	1%	1	133
Schl Profl Studies	25%	4	31%	5	6%	1	38%	6	16
Bronx CC	69%	36	29%	15	2%	1	0%	0	52
Totals	46%	822	50%	888	1%	21	3%	47	1778

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 20 - Bathrooms

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Fair or Poor		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	26%	31	73%	85	1%	1	0%	0	117
Medgar Evers	37%	14	61%	23	0%	0	3%	1	38
CSI	39%	35	60%	53	0%	0	1%	1	89
BMCC	39%	63	59%	94	1%	2	1%	1	160
John Jay	43%	36	57%	47	0%	0	0%	0	83
KBCC	35%	28	56%	45	2%	2	7%	6	81
QCC	42%	51	55%	66	1%	1	2%	3	121
Brooklyn	43%	63	53%	77	1%	2	2%	3	145
LAGCC	45%	52	51%	59	1%	1	3%	4	116
Queens	47%	80	51%	86	0%	0	2%	4	170
City	47%	56	48%	58	2%	2	3%	4	120
Hostos CC	52%	15	48%	14	0%	0	0%	0	29
Lehman	54%	37	46%	32	0%	0	0%	0	69
Hunter	54%	107	44%	87	0%	0	2%	4	198
NYC Tech	60%	80	38%	51	0%	0	2%	2	133
York	63%	24	34%	13	3%	1	0%	0	38
Schl Prof'l Studies	25%	4	31%	5	6%	1	38%	6	16
Bronx CC	71%	36	27%	14	2%	1	0%	0	51
Totals	46%	812	51%	909	1%	14	2%	39	1774

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 21 - Telephone Services

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
QCC	13%	16	56%	67	14%	17	17%	20	120
Baruch	23%	27	53%	61	8%	9	16%	19	116
CSI	12%	11	47%	42	18%	16	22%	20	89
Lehman	17%	12	46%	32	17%	12	19%	13	69
Bronx CC	29%	15	44%	23	15%	8	12%	6	52
KBCC	15%	12	43%	35	15%	12	28%	23	82
Brooklyn	16%	24	42%	61	19%	28	23%	33	146
Queens	17%	30	42%	72	12%	20	29%	51	173
Hostos CC	31%	9	38%	11	24%	7	7%	2	29
John Jay	30%	25	36%	30	19%	16	15%	13	84
York	32%	12	34%	13	18%	7	16%	6	38
City	16%	19	34%	40	22%	26	28%	33	118
LAGCC	17%	20	34%	39	21%	24	28%	33	116
BMCC	34%	54	28%	44	14%	22	25%	40	160
NYC Tech	23%	30	26%	34	24%	31	27%	35	130
Hunter	18%	36	26%	51	21%	41	35%	69	197
Medgar Evers	44%	17	23%	9	13%	5	21%	8	39
Schl Prof'l Studies	0%	0	19%	3	56%	9	25%	4	16
Totals	21%	369	38%	667	17%	310	24%	428	1774

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 22 - Mail Service
(access to mail, promptness of delivery)

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
QCC	9%	11	79%	95	2%	2	10%	12	120
Lehman	12%	8	77%	53	0%	0	12%	8	69
CSI	16%	14	74%	66	0%	0	10%	9	89
Baruch	21%	24	68%	80	3%	3	9%	10	117
Brooklyn	14%	21	68%	100	2%	3	16%	23	147
Bronx CC	19%	10	67%	35	2%	1	12%	6	52
Queens	22%	37	65%	112	1%	2	12%	21	172
John Jay	24%	20	65%	54	4%	3	7%	6	83
KBCC	11%	9	65%	53	4%	3	21%	17	82
Hostos CC City	17%	5	62%	18	14%	4	7%	2	29
Hunter	13%	16	60%	71	7%	8	20%	24	119
Hunter	19%	38	58%	115	6%	11	17%	33	197
LAGCC	18%	21	57%	66	6%	7	19%	22	116
York	26%	10	55%	21	8%	3	11%	4	38
BMCC	28%	45	54%	85	2%	3	16%	25	158
NYC Tech	30%	39	49%	65	4%	5	17%	23	132
Medgar Evers	33%	13	46%	18	3%	1	18%	7	39
Schl Prof Studies	6%	1	25%	4	44%	7	25%	4	16
Totals	19%	342	63%	1111	4%	66	14%	256	1775

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 23 - Photocopying

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Brooklyn	26%	38	65%	96	3%	5	5%	8	147
CSI	29%	26	63%	56	0%	0	8%	7	89
Baruch	33%	39	62%	73	1%	1	3%	4	117
KBCC	20%	16	62%	51	4%	3	15%	12	82
QCC	33%	40	62%	74	2%	2	3%	4	120
Queens	27%	47	61%	106	3%	5	9%	15	173
John Jay	33%	27	59%	49	2%	2	6%	5	83
Hostos CC	28%	8	59%	17	10%	3	3%	1	29
York	37%	14	58%	22	5%	2	0%	0	38
BMCC	40%	64	57%	92	1%	2	2%	3	161
Bronx CC	40%	21	54%	28	4%	2	2%	1	52
Hunter	37%	72	54%	106	2%	4	8%	15	197
Lehman	35%	24	54%	37	1%	1	10%	7	69
NYC Tech	44%	58	51%	67	2%	3	3%	4	132
City	32%	39	47%	57	6%	7	15%	18	121
LAGCC	40%	46	44%	51	4%	5	12%	14	116
Medgar Evers	64%	25	28%	11	3%	1	5%	2	39
Schl Prof'l Studies	19%	3	25%	4	19%	3	38%	6	16
Totals	34%	607	56%	997	3%	51	7%	126	1781

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 24 - Security

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Medgar Evers	15%	6	79%	31	0%	0	5%	2	39
Baruch	18%	21	77%	90	1%	1	4%	5	117
QCC	15%	18	76%	90	2%	2	7%	8	118
KBCC	10%	8	74%	61	2%	2	13%	11	82
BMCC	20%	32	74%	118	1%	1	5%	8	159
CSI	21%	18	74%	64	0%	0	6%	5	87
John Jay	18%	15	73%	60	1%	1	7%	6	82
Brooklyn	21%	31	71%	105	1%	1	7%	10	147
NYC Tech	24%	31	71%	93	0%	0	5%	7	131
Hostos CC	24%	7	69%	20	3%	1	3%	1	29
York	26%	10	68%	26	3%	1	3%	1	38
Lehman	18%	12	68%	46	0%	0	15%	10	68
Queens	20%	35	65%	112	1%	2	14%	24	173
City	23%	27	64%	76	2%	2	12%	14	119
Bronx CC	31%	16	63%	33	0%	0	6%	3	52
LAGCC	28%	33	62%	72	0%	0	9%	11	116
Hunter	28%	55	59%	115	2%	4	11%	22	196
Schl Prof'l Studies	6%	1	31%	5	19%	3	44%	7	16
Totals	21%	376	69%	1217	1%	21	9%	155	1769

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 25 - Bookstore

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Baruch	12%	14	75%	88	1%	1	12%	14	117
Brooklyn	19%	28	59%	86	1%	1	21%	31	146
John Jay	31%	26	57%	48	1%	1	11%	9	84
QCC	18%	21	56%	67	2%	2	24%	29	119
Hostos CC	31%	9	55%	16	0%	0	14%	4	29
Lehman	22%	15	55%	38	0%	0	23%	16	69
Hunter	22%	42	52%	99	2%	4	24%	47	192
KBCC	20%	16	51%	41	4%	3	26%	21	81
BMCC	28%	44	50%	80	4%	6	19%	30	160
CSI	25%	22	49%	44	0%	0	26%	23	89
NYC Tech	23%	30	47%	61	2%	2	28%	37	130
Queens	24%	41	47%	81	2%	3	28%	48	173
Bronx CC	21%	11	46%	24	0%	0	33%	17	52
York	19%	7	46%	17	3%	1	32%	12	37
LAGCC	28%	32	42%	49	1%	1	29%	34	116
City	19%	23	41%	48	12%	14	28%	33	118
Medgar Evers	23%	9	38%	15	3%	1	36%	14	39
Schl Prof'l Studies	25%	4	6%	1	38%	6	31%	5	16
Totals	22%	394	51%	903	3%	46	24%	424	1767

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 26 - Cafeteria/Food Services

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
KBCC	20%	16	46%	37	6%	5	28%	23	81
Lehman	32%	22	46%	31	0%	0	22%	15	68
CSI	27%	24	45%	40	0%	0	28%	25	89
QCC	26%	31	42%	50	2%	2	30%	35	118
Hostos CC	38%	11	41%	12	0%	0	21%	6	29
John Jay	30%	25	40%	33	1%	1	29%	24	83
Brooklyn	39%	57	38%	56	1%	2	21%	31	146
BMCC	40%	65	38%	61	3%	5	19%	30	161
City	30%	36	35%	42	12%	14	23%	28	120
Baruch	32%	37	33%	39	1%	1	34%	40	117
Hunter	45%	87	30%	58	3%	5	23%	44	194
York	50%	19	29%	11	3%	1	18%	7	38
Queens	35%	59	29%	49	2%	3	35%	60	171
LAGCC	43%	49	27%	31	3%	3	27%	31	114
Bronx CC	40%	21	27%	14	2%	1	31%	16	52
NYC Tech	43%	56	26%	34	3%	4	28%	36	130
Medgar Evers	47%	18	21%	8	3%	1	29%	11	38
Schl Prof'l Studies	0%	0	0%	0	50%	8	50%	8	16
Totals	36%	633	34%	606	3%	56	27%	470	1765

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 27 - Enforcement of Health and Safety Regulations

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
KBCC	20%	16	46%	37	6%	5	28%	23	81
Lehman	32%	22	46%	31	0%	0	22%	15	68
CSI	27%	24	45%	40	0%	0	28%	25	89
QCC	26%	31	42%	50	2%	2	30%	35	118
Hostos CC	38%	11	41%	12	0%	0	21%	6	29
John Jay	30%	25	40%	33	1%	1	29%	24	83
Brooklyn	39%	57	38%	56	1%	2	21%	31	146
BMCC	40%	65	38%	61	3%	5	19%	30	161
City	30%	36	35%	42	12%	14	23%	28	120
Baruch	32%	37	33%	39	1%	1	34%	40	117
Hunter	45%	87	30%	58	3%	5	23%	44	194
York	50%	19	29%	11	3%	1	18%	7	38
Queens	35%	59	29%	49	2%	3	35%	60	171
LAGCC	43%	49	27%	31	3%	3	27%	31	114
Bronx CC	40%	21	27%	14	2%	1	31%	16	52
NYC Tech	43%	56	26%	34	3%	4	28%	36	130
Medgar Evers	47%	18	21%	8	3%	1	29%	11	38
Schl Prof'l Studies	0%	0	0%	0	50%	8	50%	8	16
Totals	36%	633	34%	606	3%	56	27%	470	1765

Table corrected April 19, 2010

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 28 - Faculty Development Activities
Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Schl Prof Studies	27%	4	60%	9	7%	1	7%	1	15
Bronx CC	19%	10	60%	31	2%	1	19%	10	52
QCC	19%	23	55%	66	3%	3	23%	27	119
Hostos CC	24%	7	55%	16	7%	2	14%	4	29
Baruch	32%	37	54%	63	1%	1	14%	16	117
KBCC	23%	18	53%	42	4%	3	21%	17	80
LAGCC	29%	34	51%	59	1%	1	19%	22	116
Lehman	23%	16	49%	34	1%	1	26%	18	69
John Jay	36%	30	45%	37	2%	2	17%	14	83
Brooklyn	29%	43	44%	64	2%	3	25%	36	146
York	32%	12	42%	16	5%	2	21%	8	38
NYC Tech	42%	55	41%	54	5%	6	12%	16	131
BMCC	33%	53	40%	63	3%	5	24%	38	159
CSI	28%	25	39%	35	2%	2	30%	27	89
Hunter	36%	71	38%	75	4%	7	22%	42	195
Medgar Evers	37%	14	37%	14	8%	3	18%	7	38
City	31%	37	36%	43	6%	7	27%	32	119
Queens	25%	43	31%	53	5%	9	39%	66	171
Totals	30%	532	44%	774	3%	59	23%	401	1766

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

Table 29 - Availability of Small Internal Grants

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Poor or Fair		Good or Excellent		Resource Not Available		Don't know		Totals
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N
Lehman	14%	10	33%	23	3%	2	49%	34	69
Hostos CC	31%	9	28%	8	14%	4	28%	8	29
KBCC	16%	13	26%	21	9%	7	50%	41	82
CSI	22%	20	24%	21	6%	5	48%	43	89
Hunter	25%	49	22%	44	6%	12	46%	91	196
QCC	21%	25	22%	26	3%	4	53%	63	118
BMCC	28%	44	22%	35	3%	5	47%	75	159
Bronx CC	27%	14	21%	11	6%	3	46%	24	52
NYC Tech	26%	34	20%	26	5%	7	49%	64	131
Brooklyn	26%	37	19%	28	3%	5	52%	75	145
Baruch	26%	30	19%	22	7%	8	48%	56	116
LAGCC	26%	30	18%	21	6%	7	50%	57	115
City	26%	31	17%	20	13%	15	45%	53	119
York	18%	7	16%	6	11%	4	55%	21	38
John Jay	33%	27	16%	13	5%	4	47%	39	83
Queens	20%	34	12%	21	5%	9	63%	107	171
Medgar Evers	35%	13	8%	3	11%	4	46%	17	37
Schl Prof'l Studies	0%	0	6%	1	25%	4	69%	11	16
Totals	24%	427	20%	350	6%	109	50%	879	1765

Note. Row percentages are accompanied with the number of respondents answering within each category. Column "Totals" refer to the Totals number of respondents for each campus. The grand Totals (all campuses) is indicated in the lower right hand corner. Averaged percentages may not Totals to 100% due to rounding.

**Table 30 - Satisfaction with Authority to Make Decisions
About Content and Methods in Your Instruction**

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
	Lehman	1%	1	1%	1	96%	66	1%			
Brooklyn	2%	3	3%	4	95%	140	0%	0	6.3	0.9	147
CSI	2%	2	3%	3	94%	83	0%	0	6.3	1.0	88
Queens	3%	5	3%	5	94%	163	0%	0	6.3	1.1	173
Schl Prof'l Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	0%	0	6.5	1.0	16
Baruch	5%	6	3%	3	92%	108	0%	0	6.2	1.2	117
QCC	3%	4	3%	4	92%	111	2%	2	6.3	1.1	121
John Jay	5%	4	4%	3	92%	76	0%	0	6.2	1.2	83
KBCC	5%	4	0%	0	91%	75	4%	3	6.3	1.2	82
City	3%	3	3%	4	91%	109	3%	4	6.4	1.1	120
Hunter	6%	11	5%	9	89%	177	1%	1	6.1	1.2	198
LAGCC	4%	5	8%	9	87%	102	1%	1	6.0	1.2	117
Medgar Evers	3%	1	8%	3	86%	32	3%	1	5.9	1.3	37
Bronx CC	8%	4	6%	3	85%	44	2%	1	5.8	1.5	52
Hostos CC	14%	4	3%	1	83%	24	0%	0	5.8	1.7	29
BMCC	6%	9	9%	14	83%	134	3%	5	5.9	1.3	162
NYC Tech	7%	9	11%	15	82%	109	0%	0	5.6	1.4	133
York	8%	3	16%	6	74%	28	3%	1	5.8	1.5	38
Totals	4%	79	5%	87	90%	1596	1%	20	6.1	1.2	1782

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

Table 31 - Satisfaction with Authority to to Set Standards and Grading Policies

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
	Brooklyn	1%	1	2%	3	97%	144	0%			
Lehman	3%	2	1%	1	96%	66	0%	0	6.4	1.0	69
Schl Prof'l Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	0%	0	6.3	1.5	16
Queens	2%	4	4%	7	93%	161	1%	1	6.3	1.0	173
John Jay	2%	2	5%	4	93%	77	0%	0	6.2	1.1	83
CSI	3%	3	4%	4	92%	82	0%	0	6.2	1.2	89
QCC	7%	8	2%	2	91%	110	1%	1	6.1	1.3	121
City	5%	6	2%	3	89%	108	3%	4	6.3	1.3	121
KBCC	5%	4	2%	2	89%	73	4%	3	6.2	1.3	82
Baruch	8%	9	3%	4	89%	104	0%	0	6.0	1.3	117
Hunter	7%	13	4%	8	88%	173	2%	3	6.1	1.2	197
Hostos CC	10%	3	3%	1	86%	25	0%	0	5.7	1.5	29
Medgar Evers	3%	1	8%	3	86%	31	3%	1	5.8	1.2	36
York	8%	3	5%	2	84%	32	3%	1	5.8	1.5	38
Bronx CC	13%	7	4%	2	81%	42	2%	1	5.5	1.6	52
LAGCC	9%	10	8%	9	79%	92	4%	5	5.8	1.5	116
BMCC	9%	14	10%	16	78%	126	4%	6	5.7	1.4	162
NYC Tech	8%	11	13%	17	77%	103	2%	2	5.7	1.4	133
Totals	6%	102	5%	88	88%	1564	2%	28	6.1	1.3	1782

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

Table 32 - Satisfaction with Class Size

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
	Schl Prof'l Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	0%			
Lehman	12%	8	1%	1	87%	60	0%	0	5.8	1.4	69
KBCC	9%	7	6%	5	84%	69	1%	1	5.8	1.4	82
QCC	14%	17	3%	4	81%	98	2%	2	5.6	1.6	121
York	11%	4	8%	3	79%	30	3%	1	5.6	1.5	38
Brooklyn	17%	25	3%	5	78%	116	1%	2	5.4	1.5	148
Hunter	18%	35	3%	6	78%	154	1%	2	5.4	1.6	197
CSI	16%	14	7%	6	77%	68	0%	0	5.3	1.8	88
Baruch	14%	16	9%	11	76%	89	1%	1	5.5	1.6	117
City	13%	16	8%	10	76%	90	3%	3	5.5	1.4	119
NYC Tech	20%	27	8%	10	72%	96	0%	0	5.2	1.8	133
Queens	24%	41	4%	7	72%	124	1%	1	5.2	1.7	173
Medgar Evers	30%	11	3%	1	68%	25	0%	0	4.8	1.8	37
Bronx CC	21%	11	10%	5	67%	35	2%	1	5.0	1.6	52
LAGCC	26%	30	8%	9	66%	77	0%	0	4.8	1.7	116
Hostos CC	32%	9	7%	2	61%	17	0%	0	4.8	2.0	28
BMCC	29%	47	10%	16	59%	96	2%	3	4.7	1.9	162
John Jay	28%	23	8%	7	59%	49	5%	4	4.9	2.0	83
Totals	19%	342	6%	108	74%	1308	1%	21	5.3	1.7	1779

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

Table 33 - Satisfaction with Workload

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
	Schl Prof Studies	6%	1	0%	0	94%	15	0%			
QCC	13%	16	2%	3	84%	102	0%	0	5.7	1.5	121
KBCC	12%	10	2%	2	83%	67	2%	2	5.8	1.6	81
Lehman	15%	10	3%	2	82%	56	0%	0	5.8	1.6	68
Baruch	11%	13	6%	7	82%	95	1%	1	5.6	1.4	116
CSI	12%	11	7%	6	81%	72	0%	0	5.5	1.6	89
Brooklyn	16%	23	5%	7	79%	117	1%	1	5.5	1.5	148
City	12%	14	8%	9	79%	93	2%	2	5.6	1.5	118
NYC Tech	13%	17	10%	13	77%	102	1%	1	5.4	1.6	133
York	13%	5	8%	3	76%	29	3%	1	5.4	1.6	38
Queens	13%	22	11%	19	76%	132	0%	0	5.4	1.6	173
Medgar Evers	11%	4	14%	5	76%	28	0%	0	5.4	1.3	37
Hunter	18%	36	6%	12	76%	148	0%	0	5.2	1.6	196
LAGCC	19%	22	8%	9	73%	85	0%	0	5.2	1.7	116
Hostos CC	17%	5	10%	3	72%	21	0%	0	5.3	1.7	29
John Jay	16%	13	11%	9	72%	59	1%	1	5.3	1.6	82
Bronx CC	17%	9	12%	6	69%	36	2%	1	5.3	1.6	52
BMCC	18%	29	12%	19	69%	112	1%	2	5.1	1.7	162
Totals	15%	260	8%	134	77%	1369	1%	12	5.4	1.6	1775

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

Table 34 - Satisfaction with Salary

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
KBCC	15%	12	6%	5	78%	63	1%	1	5.4	1.6	81
Schl Prof'l Studies	25%	4	0%	0	75%	12	0%	0	4.9	1.6	16
QCC	20%	24	5%	6	74%	90	1%	1	5.2	1.7	121
LAGCC	28%	33	6%	7	66%	77	0%	0	4.7	1.8	117
York	27%	10	5%	2	65%	24	3%	1	4.8	2.1	37
Lehman	29%	20	6%	4	65%	44	0%	0	4.5	2.1	68
Hostos CC	33%	9	4%	1	63%	17	0%	0	4.7	2.0	27
CSI	31%	28	9%	8	60%	53	0%	0	4.5	2.0	89
NYC Tech	35%	47	6%	8	59%	78	0%	0	4.4	1.9	133
Medgar Evers	39%	14	3%	1	58%	21	0%	0	4.3	1.8	36
Brooklyn	34%	50	8%	12	58%	86	0%	0	4.4	1.9	148
Bronx CC	35%	18	8%	4	56%	29	2%	1	4.5	1.9	52
BMCC	40%	64	6%	10	53%	84	1%	2	4.2	2.0	160
City	39%	47	8%	10	51%	61	1%	1	4.2	2.0	119
Queens	44%	75	6%	11	50%	86	0%	0	4.0	2.0	172
John Jay	34%	28	17%	14	48%	39	1%	1	4.2	1.9	82
Baruch	45%	53	7%	8	46%	54	2%	2	3.9	1.9	117
Hunter	46%	91	10%	19	44%	87	0%	0	3.8	1.9	197
Totals	35%	627	7%	130	57%	1005	1%	10	4.4	1.9	1772

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

**Table 35 - Availability of Travel Funds to
Attend Professional Meetings**

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
	Schl Prof Studies	7%	1	7%	1	0%	0	87%			
Bronx CC	13%	7	4%	2	15%	8	67%	35	6.7	2.1	52
CSI	14%	12	8%	7	13%	11	66%	58	6.5	2.4	88
York	16%	6	8%	3	11%	4	66%	25	6.4	2.5	38
Queens	20%	35	7%	12	8%	13	65%	113	6.3	2.5	173
QCC	13%	15	8%	9	17%	20	63%	75	6.6	2.2	119
LAGCC	18%	21	13%	15	11%	13	58%	67	6.1	2.5	116
Brooklyn	21%	30	9%	13	13%	19	57%	83	6.1	2.5	145
KBCC	11%	9	11%	9	22%	18	56%	45	6.5	2.2	81
Hostos CC	24%	7	10%	3	10%	3	55%	16	5.8	2.8	29
John Jay	30%	24	11%	9	5%	4	54%	44	5.6	2.8	81
Lehman	18%	12	12%	8	18%	12	53%	36	6.1	2.5	68
Baruch	22%	26	12%	14	14%	16	52%	61	5.8	2.6	117
BMCC	26%	42	11%	18	12%	19	51%	81	5.7	2.6	160
City	25%	29	12%	14	14%	16	50%	59	5.8	2.6	118
Medgar Evers	22%	8	11%	4	17%	6	50%	18	5.9	2.5	36
NYC Tech	28%	37	8%	10	14%	18	50%	65	5.7	2.7	130
Hunter	28%	54	13%	26	11%	21	48%	94	5.5	2.7	195
Totals	21%	375	10%	177	13%	221	56%	988	6.0	2.6	1761

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

Table 36 - Satisfaction with Health Care Benefits

**Part-Time Faculty, Ranked by College, Spring 2009,
City University of New York**

College	Very Dissatisfied - Mildly Dissatisfied		Neutral		Mildly Satisfied - Very Satisfied		Don't know		M	SD	Totals N
	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			
York	14%	5	5%	2	14%	5	68%	25	6.6	2.4	37
Schl Profll Studies	25%	4	6%	1	6%	1	63%	10	6.1	2.9	16
CSI	10%	9	12%	10	20%	17	58%	50	6.4	2.2	86
QCC	12%	14	9%	11	24%	28	55%	66	6.4	2.2	119
Brooklyn	17%	25	14%	21	14%	20	54%	79	6.0	2.5	145
KBCC	10%	8	15%	12	21%	17	54%	43	6.3	2.2	80
Queens	20%	33	8%	13	20%	34	53%	89	6.1	2.5	169
Medgar Evers	11%	4	17%	6	22%	8	50%	18	6.1	2.1	36
City	14%	17	13%	15	24%	29	49%	58	6.0	2.4	119
Baruch	19%	22	11%	13	22%	25	48%	55	5.8	2.5	115
Lehman	15%	10	18%	12	22%	15	45%	30	5.9	2.4	67
Hunter	21%	40	13%	26	21%	41	45%	86	5.7	2.5	193
NYC Tech	16%	21	9%	11	32%	41	43%	55	6.1	2.2	128
LAGCC	23%	26	10%	12	24%	28	43%	49	5.7	2.5	115
BMCC	23%	37	10%	16	26%	42	41%	66	5.6	2.5	161
John Jay	18%	15	12%	10	34%	28	36%	30	5.8	2.3	83
Hostos CC	32%	9	7%	2	29%	8	32%	9	5.1	2.7	28
Bronx CC	29%	15	13%	7	27%	14	31%	16	5.1	2.6	52
Totals	18%	314	11%	200	23%	401	48%	834	5.9	2.4	1749

* Higher scores (i.e., raw scores, means) indicate greater satisfaction. The means (M) and standard deviations (SD) are derived from respondents who chose one response (Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Mildly dissatisfied, Neither satisfied or dissatisfied, Mildly satisfied, Satisfied, Very satisfied). Each response was valued from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 7 (Very satisfied) and were used in the calculation of means and standard deviations. The percentage of respondents who answered otherwise (e.g., "Don't know" or "Not important to me," etc.) are presented but these responses are not utilized in deriving means and standard deviations. Therefore the sample size is smaller for these calculations.

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK